

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,148	08/27/2003	Yang-Po Chiu	B-5215 621180-3	7102
36716	7590 09/02/2005		EXAM	INER
LADAS & 1		CHANG, YEAN HSI		
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001111021			2835	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ABL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/650,148	CHIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significantly appropriately and the provided by the Office later than three months after the integrated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Exply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 August 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ -						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9-11,13 and 15</u> is/are reject						
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,12,14,16 and 17</u> is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	*	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived				
oce the attached detailed office action for a	nst of the certified copies not i	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin et al. (US 6,590,303 B1) in view of Fisher et al. (US 2004/0212966 A1).

Austin teaches a portable storage device (100, fig. 1), comprising: a main body (102, fig. 1) having a connector (104), a single control (101), and at least one first power connection portion (portion of 104), wherein the connector and the first power connection portion are disposed on the main body (see fig. 1), the connector is electrically connected to a data transfer port (connector of 105) of an electronic device (105), the single control provides selection of functions of the main body (see col. 2, lines 13-23), and a battery dock (105) connected to the main body and having at least one second power connection portion (a portion of connector of 105) equally corresponding to the first power connection portion, wherein the second power connection portion is connected to the first power connection portion when the battery dock is connected to the main body (obvious feature) (claims 1, 10-11 and 15); and

Application/Control Number: 10/650,148

Art Unit: 2835

wherein the main body is a portable flash memory device and MP3 player (see col. 1, lines 57-59 and col. 2, lines 10-11) (claim 2).

Austin fails to indicate the connector being a USB connector and to teach a protective cover for the connector.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Austin with a USB connector since a USB connector is well known and is available off-the-shelf.

Fisher teaches a protective element (or cover) (135, fig. 2) for a USB connector (110) slidably (fig. 2), or detachably (fig. 1) connected to a body (120) of a device (105).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Austin with the protective cover taught by Fisher for providing better protection and connectivity.

3. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin et al. in view of Lian et al. (US 2003/0176935 A1).

Austin discloses the claimed invention except showing the battery dock comprising a battery cover and a release button to release the same.

Lian teaches a battery dock (12, fig. 2) comprising a battery cover (16) and a release button (obvious feature not shown).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Austin with the battery dock taught by Lian for convenience of changing batteries.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,148 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. The indicated allowability of claims 2-3, 7, 11 and 13 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Austin et al., Fisher et al., and Lian et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are given hereinabove.
- 5. Claims 18-20 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 5-6, 8, 12, 14 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Austin et al. (US 6,590,303 B1), Fisher et al. (US 2004/0212966 A1), Yin (US 6,820,138 B2) and Lian et al. (US 2003/0176935 A1), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest: a portable storage device comprising, in addition to other limitations: a main body having a connector disposed on the main body, and a bellows-type protective cover flexibly connected to the main body to protect the connector as claimed in claims 5 and 18; an accessory-attachment loop, and a write protection button as set forth in claims 6, 12 and 16; and wherein the surface of the protective element comprises at least one anti-slip strip as set forth in claims 8, 14 and 17. Claims 19-20 are dependent claims from claim 18.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30 - 16:00, Monday through Friday (except every other Fridays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Page 6

Yean-Hsi Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835 August 31, 2005

> YEAN-HSI CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER